



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/694,067 | 10/27/2003 | Harry R. Brutsche III | BRUT 2795000 | 3824 |
| 21909 | 7590 05/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| CARR LAW FIRM, L.L.P. 670 FOUNDERS SQUARE | | | NGUYEN, TRINH T | |
| 900 JACKSON | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DALLAS, TX | 75202 | | 3644 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/12/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|------|
| | 10/694,067 | BRUTSCHE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Trinh T Nguyen | 3644 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | opears on the cover sheet t | vith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MX te, cause the application to become. | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ion. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 (| October 2003. | | |
| | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed | | tters, prosecution as to the merits | is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | ier. | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac | cepted or b) Objected to | b by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | · | - | • • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in onty documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

2. <u>Within each of the planter system</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species 1: The embodiment of the planter system of Figs. 1A and 1B.

Species 2: The embodiment of the planter system of Figs. 2A and 2B.

Species 3: The embodiment of the planter system of Fig. 8.

3. <u>Within each of the planter</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species 11: The embodiment of the planter of Figs. 4A and 4B.

Species 22: The embodiment of the planter of Figs. 5A and 5B.

Species 33: The embodiment of the planter of Fig. 7.

4. <u>Within each of the tray</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species A: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 1A and 1B.

Species B: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 2A and 2B.

Species C: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 3A-3E.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067

patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 3644

5. Within each of the drainage tube system, there is lack of unity between the following

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species AA: The embodiment of the <u>drainage tube system</u> of Figs. 6A-6C.

Species BB: The embodiment of the drainage tube system of Figs. 9A and 9B.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trinh T Nguyen Patent Ex. Art Unit 3644 5/9/05